

The c-Entropy of non-dissipative L-systems

S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, and E. Tsekanovskii

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend the definition of c-entropy to canonical L-systems with non-dissipative state-space operators. We also introduce the concepts of dissipation and accumulation coefficients for such systems. In addition, we examine the coupling of these L-systems and derive closed form expressions for the corresponding c-entropy.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
3. An L-system with a dissipative operator	3
4. L-systems with a non-dissipative operator	4
5. c-Entropy of dissipative and non-dissipative L-systems	6
6. Coefficients of dissipation and accumulation	11
7. L-system coupling and c-Entropy	12
8. Examples	16
References	18

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue our study of L-systems' c-entropy (see [4, 3, 2, 5]) and its properties.

Recall the concept of a canonical L-system.

Let A be a bounded linear operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and E is another Hilbert space with $\dim E < \infty$. By a *canonical L-system* we mean the array

$$(1) \quad \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} A & K & J \\ \mathcal{H} & & E \end{pmatrix},$$

where $K \in [E, \mathcal{H}]$, J is a bounded, self-adjoint, and unitary operator in E , and $\operatorname{Im} A = KJK^*$. The operator-valued function

$$W_\Theta(z) = I - 2iK^*(A - zI)^{-1}KJ, \quad z \in \rho(A),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10; Secondary 47N50, 81Q10.

Key words and phrases. L-system, transfer function, impedance function, Herglotz-Nevanlinna function, c-entropy, dissipation coefficient, accumulation coefficient.

is called the *transfer function* of an L-system Θ and

$$V_\Theta(z) = i[W_\Theta(z) + I]^{-1}[W_\Theta(z) - I]J = K^*(\operatorname{Re} A - zI)^{-1}K, \quad z \in \rho(A) \cap \mathbb{C}_\pm,$$

is called the *impedance function* of Θ . The formal definition of L-systems is presented in Section 2.

The main goal of this note is to extend the concept of c-Entropy to canonical non-dissipative L-systems with two-dimensional input-output space E . We are going to utilize simple yet descriptive model L-systems based on the multiplication operator covered in [2]. In addition to that we will generalize the notion of the dissipation coefficient introduced in [5] and [4] (see also [2]).

The paper is organized as follows.

Section 2 contains necessary information on the L-systems theory.

In Section 3 we present a detailed construction of a canonical dissipative L-system associated with the multiplication by a scalar operator. The input-output space for such an L-system is \mathbb{C}^2 and both transfer and impedance functions are (2×2) matrix-valued functions.

Sections 4–7 of the paper contain the main results.

Section 4 provides a construction of canonical L-systems that are similar to those in Section 3. These systems are no longer dissipative and have the directing operator J different from the identity matrix. We also provide explicit formulas for the transfer and impedance matrix-functions.

In Section 5 we extend the definition of c-entropy to a wider class of canonical L-systems compared those discussed in [4, 3, 2, 5]. We apply this definition to L-systems constructed in Sections 3 and 4 and obtain explicit representations for c-entropy in each case. In addition to that, we determine the model parameter(s) that yield(s) an extreme c-entropy value.

In Section 6, we extend the definition of the dissipation coefficient initially proposed in [5, 4, 2] to non-dissipative systems. This generalization introduces a novel concept of accumulation coefficient previously unexplored in this context. Additionally, we derive explicit analytical expressions for both dissipation and accumulation coefficients within the model L-systems framework developed in Sections 3 and 4.

In Section 7, we revisit the concept of L-system coupling (see [1, 8]), and derive the explicit form of the coupling between two canonical L-systems discussed Sections 3 and 4 followed by an independent proof of the Multiplication Theorem (see, e.g., [8, 11]): the transfer function of the coupling coincides with the product of the transfer functions associated with the factor L-systems.

The paper is concluded with illustrative examples that demonstrate the constructions and concepts discussed.

2. Preliminaries

For a pair of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ denote by $[\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2]$ the set of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H}_1 to \mathcal{H}_2 .

Let T be a bounded linear operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $K \in [E, \mathcal{H}]$, and J be a bounded, self-adjoint, and unitary operator in E , where E is another Hilbert space with $\dim E < \infty$. Let also

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Im} T = KJK^*.$$

By definition, the **Livšic canonical system** or simply **canonical L-system** is just the array

$$(3) \quad \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} T & K & J \\ \mathcal{H} & & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spaces \mathcal{H} and E here are called *state* and *input-output* spaces, and the operators T , K , J will be referred to as *main*, *channel*, and *directing* operators, respectively.

Notice that relation (2) implies

$$(4) \quad \text{Ran}(\text{Im } T) \subseteq \text{Ran}(K).$$

We associate with an L-system Θ two analytic functions, the **transfer function** of the L-system Θ

$$(5) \quad W_\Theta(z) = I - 2iK^*(T - zI)^{-1}KJ, \quad z \in \rho(T),$$

and also the **impedance function** given by the formula

$$(6) \quad V_\Theta(z) = K^*(\text{Re } T - zI)^{-1}K, \quad z \in \rho(\text{Re } T).$$

The transfer function $W_\Theta(z)$ of the L-system Θ and function $V_\Theta(z)$ of the form (6) are connected by the following relations valid for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$, $z \in \rho(T)$,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} V_\Theta(z) &= i[W_\Theta(z) + I]^{-1}[W_\Theta(z) - I]J, \\ W_\Theta(z) &= (I + iV_\Theta(z)J)^{-1}(I - iV_\Theta(z)J). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the impedance function $V_\Theta(z)$ of a canonical L-system admits the integral representation (see, e.g., [1, Section 5.5], [8])

$$(8) \quad V_\Theta(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - z},$$

where σ is an operator-valued bounded Borel measure in E with the compact support on \mathbb{R} .

As far as the inverse problem is concerned, we refer to [1, 7, 9] and references therein for the description of the class of all Herglotz-Nevanlinna functions that admit realizations as impedance functions of an L-system.

3. An L-system with a dissipative operator

Let \mathcal{H}^2 be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and an orthogonal normalized basis of vectors $h_{01}, h_{02} \in \mathcal{H}^2$, ($\|h_{01}\| = \|h_{02}\| = 1$). For a fixed number $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Im } \lambda_0 > 0$ we introduce (see also [8]) a linear operator

$$(9) \quad T_d h = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\lambda}_0 \end{bmatrix} h, \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2.$$

Clearly,

$$T_d^* = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\lambda}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Im } T_d = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Im } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Im } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Re } T_d = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Re } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are going to include T_d into an L-system Θ . Let $K : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ be such that

$$(10) \quad K \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0}) \begin{bmatrix} h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0}) \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2,$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the adjoint operator $K^* : \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ acts on an arbitrary vector $h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2$ as follows

$$(11) \quad K^* h = K^* \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} (h, c_1 h_{01}) \\ (h, c_2 h_{02}) \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$(12) \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the identity operator. Furthermore,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} KIK^* h &= \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{Im} T_d h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can construct an L-system of the form

$$(14) \quad \Theta_d = \begin{pmatrix} T_d & K & I \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 & \end{pmatrix},$$

where operators T_d and K are defined by (9) and (10), respectively. Taking into account that

$$(T_d - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - zI} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\lambda_0 + zI} \end{bmatrix},$$

we proceed with calculations of the transfer function $W_\Theta(z)$.

We have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\Theta_d}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_d - zI)^{-1}KI = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2i \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_0}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_0}{\lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 - z}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_0 + z}{\lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding impedance function of the form (6) is easily found and given by

$$(16) \quad V_{\Theta_d}(z) = K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_d - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By direct check one confirms that $V_\Theta(z)$ is a Herglotz-Nevanlinna matrix-valued function.

4. L-systems with a non-dissipative operator

Let \mathcal{H}^2 be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and an orthogonal normalized basis of vectors $h_{01}, h_{02} \in \mathcal{H}^2$, ($\|h_{01}\| = \|h_{02}\| = 1$). For a fixed number $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 > 0$ we introduce (see also [8]) a linear operator

$$(17) \quad T_m h = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\lambda}_0 \end{bmatrix} h, \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2.$$

Clearly,

$$T_m^* = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\lambda}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Im } T_m = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Im } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Im } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Re } T_m = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Re } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are going to include T_m into an L-system Θ_m . Let $K : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ be of the form (10), that is,

$$K \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0}) \begin{bmatrix} h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0}) \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2,$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the adjoint operator $K^* : \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is of the form (11) and acts on an arbitrary vector $h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2$ as follows

$$K^* h = K^* \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} (h, c_1 h_{01}) \\ (h, c_2 h_{02}) \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define a signature operator

$$(18) \quad J_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and note that $J_m = J_m^* = J_m^{-1}$. Furthermore,

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} K J_m K^* h &= \sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \text{Im } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Im } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Im } T_m h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can construct an L-system of the form

$$(20) \quad \Theta_m = \begin{pmatrix} T_m & K & J_m \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where operators T_m , K , and J_m are defined by (17), (10), and (18), respectively. Taking into account that

$$(T_m - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - zI} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_0 - zI} \end{bmatrix},$$

we proceed with calculations of the transfer function $W_{\Theta_m}(z)$. We have

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\Theta_m}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_m - zI)^{-1}KJ_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2i\text{Im } \lambda_0 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_0 - z} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_0}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_0}{\lambda_0 - z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 - z}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_0 - z}{\bar{\lambda}_0 - z} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding impedance function of the form (6) is easily found and given by

$$(22) \quad V_{\Theta_m}(z) = K^*(\text{Re } T_m - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Im } \lambda_0}{\text{Re } \lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\text{Im } \lambda_0}{\text{Re } \lambda_0 - z} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\text{Im } \lambda_0}{\text{Re } \lambda_0 - z} I.$$

By direct check one confirms that $V_{\Theta_m}(z)$ is a Herglotz-Nevanlinna matrix-valued function.

Now we are going to construct yet another L-system whose main operator is not dissipative. Using the same conventions as in the beginning of the section we set

$$(23) \quad T_a h = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\lambda}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_0 \end{bmatrix} h, \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2.$$

Clearly,

$$T_a^* = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\lambda}_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Im } T_a = \begin{bmatrix} -\text{Im } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Im } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Re } T_a = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Re } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are going to include T_a into an L-system Θ . Let $K : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ be still defined via (10) with $K^* : \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by (11).

Define a signature operator

$$(24) \quad J_a = -I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and note that $J_a = J_a^* = J_a^{-1}$. Furthermore,

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} K J_a K^* h &= \sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{\text{Im } \lambda_0} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\text{Im } \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Im } \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Im } T_a h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can construct an L-system of the form

$$(26) \quad \Theta_a = \begin{pmatrix} T_a & K & J_a \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where operators T_a , K , and J_a are defined by (23), (10), and (24), respectively. Taking into account that

$$(T_a - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - zI} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\lambda_0 + zI} \end{bmatrix},$$

we proceed with calculations of the transfer function $W_{\Theta_a}(z)$. We have

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\Theta_a}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_a - zI)^{-1}KJ_a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2i\text{Im } \lambda_0 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_0}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_0}{\lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda_0 - z}{\lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + z}{\lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For the corresponding impedance function we have

$$(28) \quad V_{\Theta_a}(z) = K^*(\text{Re } T_a - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Im } \lambda_0}{\text{Re } \lambda_0 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\text{Im } \lambda_0}{\text{Re } \lambda_0 + z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. c-Entropy of dissipative and non-dissipative L-systems

We begin with reminding the definition of L-system c-Entropy introduced in [5].

DEFINITION 1. Let Θ be an L-system of the form (3). The quantity

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{S} = -\text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta}(-i)|),$$

where $W_{\Theta}(z)$ is the transfer function of Θ , is called the **coupling entropy** (or **c-Entropy**) of the L-system Θ .

Note that if, in addition, the point $z = i$ belongs to $\rho(T)$, then we also have that

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{S} = \text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta}(i)|).$$

Our next goal is to calculate the c-Entropies for the dissipative and non-dissipative cases described in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Let us start with the dissipative case.

THEOREM 2. Let Θ_d be an L-system of the form (14) that is based upon operator T_d in (9). Then the c-Entropy \mathcal{S}_d of Θ_d is

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{S}_d = \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}.$$

PROOF. Our plan is to find \mathcal{S}_d by the definition using (29). Keeping in mind (21) we observe

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \ln \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i}{\lambda_0 + i} \right| &= \ln \left| \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_0 + i(1 - \text{Im} \lambda_0)}{\text{Re} \lambda_0 + i(1 + \text{Im} \lambda_0)} \right| = \ln \sqrt{\frac{(\text{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (1 - \text{Im} \lambda_0)^2}{(\text{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (1 + \text{Im} \lambda_0)^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_0 - i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 - i} \right| &= \ln \left| \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_0 + i(\text{Im} \lambda_0 - 1)}{\text{Re} \lambda_0 - i(\text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1)} \right| = \ln \sqrt{\frac{(\text{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (\text{Im} \lambda_0 - 1)^2}{(\text{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (\text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1)^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_d &= -\text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta_d}(-i)|) = -\text{tr} \ln \begin{bmatrix} \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i}{\lambda_0 + i} \right| & 0 \\ 0 & \left| \frac{\lambda_0 - i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 - i} \right| \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \ln \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i}{\lambda_0 + i} \right| & 0 \\ 0 & \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_0 - i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 - i} \right| \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} = \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown (31). \square

Now we are going to evaluate c-Entropy in the first non-dissipative case.

THEOREM 3. *Let Θ_m be an L-system of the form (20) that is based upon operator T_m in (17). Then the c-Entropy \mathcal{S}_m of Θ_m is zero.*

PROOF. Once again we are going to find \mathcal{S}_m by the definition using (29). Taking into account (21) and (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}_m &= -\text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta_m}(-i)|) = -\text{tr} \ln \begin{bmatrix} \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i}{\lambda_0 + i} \right| & 0 \\ 0 & \left| \frac{\lambda_0 + i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i} \right| \end{bmatrix} \\
 (35) \quad &= -\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \ln \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i}{\lambda_0 + i} \right| & 0 \\ 0 & \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_0 + i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i} \right| \end{bmatrix} = - \left(\ln \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i}{\lambda_0 + i} \right| + \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_0 + i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i} \right| \right) \\
 &= -\ln 1 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{S}_m = 0$. □

At this point we are ready to discuss the accumulative case.

THEOREM 4. *Let Θ_a be an L-system of the form (26) that is based upon operator T_a in (23). Then the c-Entropy \mathcal{S}_a of Θ_a is*

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{S}_a = \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}.$$

PROOF. Our plan is to find \mathcal{S}_a by the definition using (29). Keeping in mind (15) we reciprocate the fraction in (32) to get

$$(37) \quad \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_0 + i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}.$$

Similarly, reciprocating the corresponding fraction in (33) yields

$$(38) \quad \ln \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 - i}{\lambda_0 - i} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}_a &= -\text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta_a}(-i)|) = -\text{tr} \ln \begin{bmatrix} \left| \frac{\lambda_0 + i}{\bar{\lambda}_0 + i} \right| & 0 \\ 0 & \left| \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0 - i}{\lambda_0 - i} \right| \end{bmatrix} \\
 (39) \quad &= -\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= -\ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} = \ln \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown (36). □

Note that since $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 > 0$ the c-Entropy in (36) is clearly negative.

We are going to analyze the L-systems Θ_d and Θ_a closer to see what values of λ_0 provide us with extreme values of c-Entropy.

THEOREM 5. *Let Θ_d be an L-system of the form (14) that is based upon operator T_d in (9). Then the c-Entropy $\mathcal{S}_d = +\infty$ if and only if $\lambda_0 = i$. Moreover, the c-Entropy \mathcal{S}_d attains its maximum (finite) value whenever $\lambda_0 = ai$, for $a \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$.*




FIGURE 1. Maximal c-Entropy of a dissipative L-system

PROOF. Applying formula (31) for the c-Entropy \mathcal{S}_d and taking into account that $\text{Im } \lambda_0 > 0$, we observe that the numerator of the expression

$$(40) \quad \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im } \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im } \lambda_0 + 1}$$

is always greater than 1. Consequently, the natural logarithm expression on the right side of (31) is positive infinity if and only if the denominator in (40) is zero, or

$$|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im } \lambda_0 + 1 = (\text{Re } \lambda_0)^2 + (1 - \text{Im } \lambda_0)^2 = 0.$$

The last equation is true whenever $\lambda_0 = i$.

The second part of the statement is proved by considering the expression

$$\frac{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \text{Im } \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \text{Im } \lambda_0 + 1} = \frac{(\text{Re } \lambda_0)^2 + (1 + \text{Im } \lambda_0)^2}{(\text{Re } \lambda_0)^2 + (1 - \text{Im } \lambda_0)^2}$$

as a function of a single real variable $x = \text{Re } \lambda_0$ while keeping $\text{Im } \lambda_0 = a > 0$, $a \neq 1$ fixed. Then

$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 + (1 + a)^2}{x^2 + (1 - a)^2}$$

clearly attains its maximum at $x = 0$. □

The graph of c-Entropy $\mathcal{S}_d(x, y)$ as a function of $x = \text{Re } \lambda_0$ and $y = \text{Im } \lambda_0$ is shown on Figure 1. The visible pick is actually represents the infinite value of $\mathcal{S}_d(x, y)$ that occurs at the point $(0, 1)$ when $\lambda_0 = i$.

A somewhat similar result takes place when we consider an accumulative L-system Θ_a .




FIGURE 2. Minimal c-Entropy of an accumulative L-system

THEOREM 6. Let Θ_a be an L-system of the form (26) that is based upon operator T_a in (23). Then the c-Entropy $\mathcal{S}_a = -\infty$ if and only if $\lambda_0 = i$. Moreover, the c-entropy \mathcal{S}_a attains its minimum (finite) value whenever $\lambda_0 = ai$, for $a \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$.

PROOF. The proof relies on the formula (36) and closely replicates the steps of the proof of Theorem 5 but applied to the expression

$$(41) \quad \frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} = \frac{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (1 - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0)^2}{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (1 + \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0)^2}.$$

Here we observe that the natural logarithm expression on the right side of (36) is negative infinity if and only if the numerator in (41) is zero, or (as we have shown in the proof of Theorem 5)

$$|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1 = (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0)^2 + (1 - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0)^2 = 0.$$

The last equation is true whenever $\lambda_0 = i$.

The second part of the statement is proved by analyzing the function

$$g(x) = \frac{x^2 + (1 - a)^2}{x^2 + (1 + a)^2}$$

to show that it achieves its minimum at $x = 0$. □

The graph of c-Entropy $\mathcal{S}_a(x, y)$ as a function of $x = \operatorname{Re} \lambda_0$ and $y = \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0$ is shown on Figure 2. The visible drop actually represents the infinite value of $\mathcal{S}_a(x, y)$ that occurs at the point $(0, 1)$ when $\lambda_0 = i$.

6. Coefficients of dissipation and accumulation

Let us recall the definition of the dissipation coefficient of a L-system with the main dissipative operator T .

DEFINITION 7 (cf. [5], [4]). *Let Θ be an L-system of the form (3) with the main operator T and c-Entropy \mathcal{S} . Then the quantity*

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{D} = 1 - e^{-2\mathcal{S}}$$

*is called the **coefficient of dissipation** of the L-system Θ if $\mathcal{S} \geq 0$.*

Similarly, the quantity

$$(43) \quad \mathcal{A} = 1 - e^{2\mathcal{S}}$$

*is called the **coefficient of accumulation** of the L-system Θ if $\mathcal{S} < 0$.*

We will evaluate the coefficient of dissipation (or accumulation) for all three cases described in Section 6. We begin with the case of an L-system of the form (14) with the dissipative operator T_d .

THEOREM 8. *Let Θ_d be an L-system of the form (14) that is based upon operator T_d in (9). Then the dissipation coefficient \mathcal{D}_d of Θ_d is*

$$(44) \quad \mathcal{D}_d = \frac{8 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 (|\lambda_0|^2 + 1)}{(|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1)^2}.$$

PROOF. In order to simplify calculations let us temporarily denote $\lambda_0 = a + bi$. Using (42) with (31) and performing straightforward calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_d &= 1 - e^{-2\mathcal{S}_d} = 1 - \left(\frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} \right)^2 = 1 - \frac{(a^2 + (1-b)^2)^2}{(a^2 + (1+b)^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{(a^2 + (1+b)^2)^2 - (a^2 + (1-b)^2)^2}{(a^2 + (1+b)^2)^2} = \frac{8a^2b + 8b + 8b^3}{(a^2 + (1+b)^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{8b(a^2 + b^2 + 1)}{(a^2 + (1+b)^2)^2} = \frac{8 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 (|\lambda_0|^2 + 1)}{(|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (44) takes place. \square

Nest we will treat the case when the main operator of our L-system is neither dissipative nor accumulative.

THEOREM 9. *Let Θ_m be an L-system of the form (20) that is based upon operator T_m in (17). Then the dissipation coefficient \mathcal{D}_m of Θ_m is zero, that is $\mathcal{D}_m = 0$.*

PROOF. As we have shown in Theorem 3, for an L-system of the form (20) that is based upon operator T_m in (17) the value of c-Entropy is zero. Hence, (42) yields

$$\mathcal{D}_m = 1 - e^{-2 \cdot 0} = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

\square

Finally we are going to look at the case when the main operator of our L-system is accumulative.

THEOREM 10. Let Θ_a be an L-system of the form (26) that is based upon operator T_a in (23). Then the accumulation coefficient \mathcal{A}_a of Θ_a is

$$(45) \quad \mathcal{A}_a = \frac{8 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 (|\lambda_0|^2 + 1)}{(|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1)^2}.$$

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 8 we let temporarily $\lambda_0 = a + bi$. Using (43) with (36) and performing straightforward calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_a &= 1 - e^{2S_a} = 1 - \left(\frac{|\lambda_0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1}{|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1} \right)^2 = 1 - \frac{(a^2 + (1 - b)^2)^2}{(a^2 + (1 + b)^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{8b(a^2 + b^2 + 1)}{(a^2 + (1 + b)^2)^2} = \frac{8 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 (|\lambda_0|^2 + 1)}{(|\lambda_0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0 + 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (45) takes place. \square

7. L-system coupling and c-Entropy

In this section, following [8] (see also [1], [6] and [2]), we introduce the coupling of two L-systems based upon the multiplication operator discussed in Sections 3 and 4.

Let \mathcal{H}^2 be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and an orthogonal normalized basis of vectors $h_{01}, h_{02} \in \mathcal{H}^2$, ($\|h_{01}\| = \|h_{02}\| = 1$). For fixed numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and such that $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_2 > 0$, we introduce linear operators T_1 and T_2 in \mathcal{H}^2 of the form

$$(46) \quad T_1 h = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix} h, \quad T_2 h = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} h, \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2.$$

Clearly, for $j = 1, 2$

$$T_j^* = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\lambda}_j & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mu}_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{Im} T_j = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_j & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} \mu_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{Re} T_j = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{Re} \mu_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $K_j : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ (for $j = 1, 2$) be such that

$$(47) \quad K_j \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j}) h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_j}) h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j}) c_1 h_{01} \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_j}) c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix},$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the adjoint operators $K_j^* : \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ (for $j = 1, 2$) act on

an arbitrary vector $h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2$ as follows

$$(48) \quad K_j^* h = K_j^* \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j}) (h, c_1 h_{01}) \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_j}) (h, c_2 h_{02}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j}) c_1 \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_j}) c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let also

$$(49) \quad J = I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the identity operator. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (50) \quad K_j J K_j^* h &= \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j}) h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_j}) h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j}) c_1 \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_j}) c_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_j & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} \mu_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{Im} T_j h. \end{aligned}$$

Consider two L-systems based on T_1 and T_2 , respectively,

$$(51) \quad \Theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & K_1 & J \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} T_2 & K_2 & J \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking into account that

$$(52) \quad (T_j - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_j - zI} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\mu_j - zI} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

we proceed with calculations of the transfer function $W_{\Theta_j}(z)$. Performing steps similar to the ones that were used to obtain formula (21), we get

$$(53) \quad W_{\Theta_j}(z) = I - 2iK_j^*(T_j - zI)^{-1}K_jJ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_j - z}{\lambda_j - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\bar{\mu}_j - z}{\mu_j - z} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Define an operator \mathbf{T} acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H}^2$ as

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 2iK_1JK_2^* \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1\mathbf{h}_1 + 2iK_1K_2^*\mathbf{h}_2 \\ T_2\mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_1 & 0 & 2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_1 \operatorname{Im} \mu_2} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\mathbf{h}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{h}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2.$$

Direct check reveals that

$$(55) \quad \mathbf{T}^* \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\lambda}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mu}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_2} & 0 & \bar{\lambda}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_1 \operatorname{Im} \mu_2} & 0 & \bar{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In addition to \mathbf{T} we define an operator $\mathbf{K} : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H}^2$ as

$$(56) \quad \mathbf{K} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 \mathbf{c} \\ K_2 \mathbf{c} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1} c_1) h_{01} \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_1} c_2) h_{02} \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_2} c_1) h_{01} \\ (\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_2} c_2) h_{02} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this case the adjoint operator $\mathbf{K}^* : \mathcal{H}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is defined for all $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2 \in \mathcal{H}^2$ as follows

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{K}^* \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = K_1^* \mathbf{h}_1 + K_2^* \mathbf{h}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (h_{11}, \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1} h_{01}) + (h_{12}, \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_1} h_{02}) \\ (h_{21}, \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_2} h_{01}) + (h_{22}, \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_2} h_{02}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

One can confirm that

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 2i \operatorname{Im} T_1 & 2i K_1 K_2^* \\ 2i K_2 K_1^* & 2i \operatorname{Im} T_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} K_1 K_1^* \mathbf{h}_1 + K_1 K_2^* \mathbf{h}_2 \\ K_2 K_2^* \mathbf{h}_2 + K_2 K_1^* \mathbf{h}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{K}^* \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{K}^*$.

Summarizing, we arrive at the following definition.

DEFINITION 11. *Given two systems of the form (51)*

$$\Theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & K_1 & J \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} T_2 & K_2 & J \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

define the coupling of two L-systems as

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{K} & J \\ \mathcal{H}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the operators \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{K} are presented in (54)–(57).

In writing,

$$\Theta = \Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2.$$

The following theorem can be derived from a more general result [8, Theorem 3.1], however, for the convenience of the reader, we provide a simple proof of it.

THEOREM 12 (cf. [8]). *Let an L-system Θ be the coupling of two L-systems Θ_1 and Θ_2 of the form (51) with the main operators T_1 and T_2 given by (46). Then if $z \in \rho(T_1) \cap \rho(T_2) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2\}$, we have*

$$(59) \quad W_\Theta(z) = W_{\Theta_1}(z) \cdot W_{\Theta_2}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_1 - z}{\lambda_1 - z} \cdot \frac{\bar{\lambda}_2 - z}{\lambda_2 - z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\bar{\mu}_1 - z}{\mu_1 - z} \cdot \frac{\bar{\mu}_2 - z}{\mu_2 - z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

PROOF. We start with a simple observation that for \mathbf{T} defined by (54) we have

$$(60) \quad \mathbf{T} - zI = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 - zI & 2iK_1JK_2^* \\ 0 & T_2 - zI \end{bmatrix}$$

Then a direct check would confirm that

$$(61) \quad (\mathbf{T} - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (T_1 - zI)^{-1} & -2i(T_1 - zI)^{-1}K_1JK_2^*(T_2 - zI)^{-1} \\ 0 & (T_2 - zI)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying formulas (52), (47)–(49) together with (61) one gets

$$(\mathbf{T} - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - zI} & 0 & \frac{-2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_2}}{(\lambda_1 - zI)(\lambda_2 - zI)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\mu_1 - zI} & 0 & \frac{-2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \mu_1 \operatorname{Im} \mu_2}}{(\mu_1 - zI)(\mu_2 - zI)} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - zI} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\mu_2 - zI} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking in to account that

$$2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 \cdot \operatorname{Im} \lambda_2} = \sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)},$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2i((\lambda_2 - z)\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 - 2i\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_2 + (\lambda_1 - z)\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z) + (\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)}, \end{aligned}$$

(the same is true for μ_1 and μ_2) we proceed to find

$$2i\mathbf{K}^*(\mathbf{T} - zI)^{-1}\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z) + (\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}_1)(\bar{\mu}_2 - z) + (\mu_1 - z)(\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2)}{(\mu_1 - z)(\mu_2 - z)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 - \frac{(\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z) + (\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z) - (\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z) - (\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)} \\
&= \frac{(\bar{\lambda}_1 - z)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_\Theta(z) &= I - 2i\mathbf{K}^*(\mathbf{T} - zI)^{-1}\mathbf{K} \\
&= I - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z) + (\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}_1)(\bar{\mu}_2 - z) + (\mu_1 - z)(\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2)}{(\mu_1 - z)(\mu_2 - z)} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\bar{\lambda}_1 - z)(\bar{\lambda}_2 - z)}{(\lambda_1 - z)(\lambda_2 - z)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\bar{\mu}_1 - z)(\bar{\mu}_2 - z)}{(\mu_1 - z)(\mu_2 - z)} \end{bmatrix} = W_{\Theta_1}(z) \cdot W_{\Theta_2}(z),
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Now, we turn our attention to the c-Entropy of L-system coupling. The following theorem establishes the additivity property of c-Entropy with respect to the coupling of two L-systems, thereby justifying the use of the term “coupling entropy.”

THEOREM 13. *Let an L-system Θ be the coupling of two L-systems Θ_1 and Θ_2 of the form (51) with the corresponding c-entropies \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 . Then the c-Entropy \mathcal{S} of Θ is such that*

$$(62) \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2.$$

If either $\mathcal{S}_1 = \infty$ or $\mathcal{S}_2 = \infty$, then $\mathcal{S} = \infty$.

PROOF. We rely on the Definition 1 of c-Entropy and Theorem 12. Applying (29) with (59) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= -\text{tr} \ln(|W_\Theta(-i)|) = -\text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta_1}(-i) \cdot W_{\Theta_2}(-i)|) \\
&= -\text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta_1}(-i)|) - \text{tr} \ln(|W_{\Theta_2}(-i)|) = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Now we are going to look into the dissipation and accumulation coefficients of the coupling of two L-systems of the form (51). In [4] we made a note that if L-system Θ with c-Entropy \mathcal{S} is a coupling of two L-systems Θ_1 and Θ_2 with c-entropies \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 , respectively, formula (62) holds, i.e., $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2$. Let \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{D}_1 , and \mathcal{D}_2 be the dissipation coefficients of L-systems Θ , Θ_1 , and Θ_2 . Then (42) implies

$$1 - \mathcal{D} = e^{-2\mathcal{S}} = e^{-2(\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2)} = e^{-2\mathcal{S}_1} \cdot e^{-2\mathcal{S}_2} = (1 - \mathcal{D}_1)(1 - \mathcal{D}_2).$$

Thus, the formula

$$(63) \quad \mathcal{D} = 1 - (1 - \mathcal{D}_1)(1 - \mathcal{D}_2) = \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2 - \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2$$

describes the coefficient of dissipation of the L-system coupling. Similarly,

$$1 - \mathcal{A} = e^{2\mathcal{S}} = e^{2(\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2)} = e^{2\mathcal{S}_1} \cdot e^{2\mathcal{S}_2} = (1 - \mathcal{A}_1)(1 - \mathcal{A}_2).$$

Therefore,

$$(64) \quad \mathcal{A} = 1 - (1 - \mathcal{A}_1)(1 - \mathcal{A}_2) = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2$$

is the coefficient of accumulation of the L-system coupling.

8. Examples

In this section we present examples that illustrate the construction of L-system of the forms (14), (20), and (26) for various values of λ_0 .

Example 1. Let $\lambda_0 = i$ and consider the linear operators T_d , T_m , and T_a of the forms (9), (17), and (23), respectively, acting on a two-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^2 with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and an orthogonal normalized basis of vectors $h_{01}, h_{02} \in \mathcal{H}^2$, ($\|h_{01}\| = \|h_{02}\| = 1$). We have then

$$(65) \quad T_d h = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} h, \quad T_m h = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} h, \quad T_a h = \begin{bmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} h,$$

where $h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2$.

We are going to include T_d , T_m , and T_a into L-systems Θ_d , Θ_m , and Θ_a of the forms (14), (20), and (26), respectively. In order to do that we take an operator $K : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ of the form (10) that in our case of $\text{Im } i = 1$ becomes

$$(66) \quad K \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{02} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the adjoint operator $K^* : \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by (11) and is

$$(67) \quad K^* h = K^* \begin{bmatrix} c_1 h_{01} \\ c_2 h_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (h, c_1 h_{01}) \\ (h, c_2 h_{02}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Following (12), (18), and (24) we set

$$(68) \quad J_d = I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad J_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad J_a = -I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are constructing three L-systems Θ_d , Θ_m , and Θ_a of the forms (14), (20), and (26)

$$(69) \quad \Theta_d = \begin{pmatrix} T_d & K & J_d \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta_m = \begin{pmatrix} T_m & K & J_m \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta_a = \begin{pmatrix} T_a & K & J_a \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where operators T_d , T_m , T_a , J_d , J_m , J_a , and K are defined by (65)–(68). Note that all three L-systems above share the same channel operator K of the form (66).

Using (15), (21), and (27) we have

$$(70) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\Theta_d}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_d - zI)^{-1}KI = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-i-z}{i-z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{i+z}{-i+z} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{z+i}{z-i}I, \\ W_{\Theta_m}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_m - zI)^{-1}KJ_m = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z+i}{z-i} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z-i}{z+i} \end{bmatrix}, \\ W_{\Theta_a}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_a - zI)^{-1}KJ_a = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z-i}{z+i} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z-i}{z+i} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{z-i}{z+i}I. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding impedance function is easily found using (16), (22), and (28)

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\Theta_d}(z) &= K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_d - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{z}I, \\
 V_{\Theta_m}(z) &= K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_m - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{z}I, \\
 V_{\Theta_a}(z) &= K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_a - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{z}I.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{71}$$

As one can see $V_{\Theta_d}(z) = V_{\Theta_m}(z) = V_{\Theta_a}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_\pm \setminus \{0\}$. Also, the c-entropies \mathcal{S}_d , \mathcal{S}_m , and \mathcal{S}_a of all three L-systems Θ_d , Θ_m , and Θ_a are found via (31), (35), and (36), respectively, and are

$$\mathcal{S}_d = +\infty, \quad \mathcal{S}_m = 0, \quad \mathcal{S}_a = -\infty. \tag{72}$$

The corresponding dissipation/accumulation coefficients are (see (42)-(43))

$$\mathcal{D}_d = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_m = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_a = 1. \tag{73}$$

Example 2. Following the steps of Example 1 we let $\lambda_0 = 1 + i$ and consider the linear operators T_d , T_m , and T_a of the forms (9), (17), and (23). Then

$$T_d h = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i \end{bmatrix} h, \quad T_m h = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i \end{bmatrix} h, \quad T_a h = \begin{bmatrix} 1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i \end{bmatrix} h, \tag{74}$$

where $h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^2$.

We are constructing three L-systems Θ_d , Θ_m , and Θ_a of the forms (14), (20), and (26)

$$\Theta_d = \begin{pmatrix} T_d & K & J_d \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta_m = \begin{pmatrix} T_m & K & J_m \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta_a = \begin{pmatrix} T_a & K & J_a \\ \mathcal{H}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{75}$$

where operators T_d , T_m , T_a , J_d , J_m , J_a , and K are defined by (74), (66)-(68).

Using (15), (21), and (27) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{\Theta_d}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_d - zI)^{-1}KI = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1-i-z}{1+i-z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1+i+z}{1-i+z} \end{bmatrix}, \\
 W_{\Theta_m}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_m - zI)^{-1}KJ_m = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1-i-z}{1+i-z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1+i-z}{1-i-z} \end{bmatrix}, \\
 W_{\Theta_a}(z) &= I - 2iK^*(T_a - zI)^{-1}KJ_a = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+i-z}{1-i-z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1-i+z}{1+i+z} \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{76}$$

The corresponding impedance function is easily found using (16), (22), and (28)

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\Theta_d}(z) &= K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_d - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1-z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{1+z} \end{bmatrix}, \\
 V_{\Theta_m}(z) &= K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_m - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1-z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-z} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1-z}I, \\
 V_{\Theta_a}(z) &= K^*(\operatorname{Re} T_a - zI)^{-1}K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1-z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{1+z} \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{77}$$

The c -entropies \mathcal{S}_d , \mathcal{S}_m , and \mathcal{S}_a of all three L -systems Θ_d , Θ_m , and Θ_a are found via (31), (35), and (36), respectively, and are

$$(78) \quad \mathcal{S}_d = \ln 5, \quad \mathcal{S}_m = 0, \quad \mathcal{S}_a = -\ln 5.$$

The corresponding dissipation/accumulation coefficients are (see (42)-(43))

$$(79) \quad \mathcal{D}_d = 1 - e^{-2 \ln 5} = \frac{24}{25}, \quad \mathcal{D}_m = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_a = 1 - e^{-2 \ln 5} = \frac{24}{25}.$$

References

- [1] Yu. Arlinskii, S. Belyi, E. Tsekanovskii, *Conservative Realizations of Herglotz-Nevanlinna functions*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. **217**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2011.
- [2] S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *L-systems with Multiplication Operator and c-Entropy*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, (submitted).
- [3] S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *On c-Entropy optimality of Donoghue classes*, Mathematische Nachrichten, (submitted).
- [4] S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *The L-system representation and c-Entropy*, Pure and Applied Functional Analysis, vol. **9** (4), (2024), pp. 935–961.
- [5] S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *On the c-Entropy of L-systems with Schrödinger operator*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, **16** (107), (2022), 1–59.
- [6] S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *A system coupling and Donoghue classes of Herglotz-Nevanlinna functions*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, **10** (4), (2016), 835–880.
- [7] S. Belyi, K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *Conservative L-systems and the Livšic function*, Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology, **21**, no. 2, (2015), 104–133.
- [8] M. Brodskii, *Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 32. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1971.
- [9] F. Gesztesy, E. Tsekanovskii, *On Matrix-Valued Herglotz Functions*, Mathematische Nachrichten, **218**, (2000), 61–138.
- [10] M.S. Livšic, *Operators, oscillations, waves*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966.
- [11] K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *On the addition and multiplication theorems*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. **244**, (2015), 315–339.
- [12] K. A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii, *The Mathematics of Open Quantum Systems, Dissipative and Non-Unitary Representations and Quantum Measurements*, World Scientific, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TROY UNIVERSITY, TROY, AL 36082, USA,
Email address: sbelyi@troy.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 63211, USA
Email address: makarovk@missouri.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIAGARA UNIVERSITY, LEWISTON, NY 14109, USA
Email address: tsekanov@niagara.edu